

CLARK HILL PLLC
PAOLA M. ARMENI
Nevada Bar No. 8357
Email: parmeni@clarkhill.com
GIA N. MARINA
Nevada Bar No. 15276
Email: gmarina@clarkhill.com
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Tel: (702) 862-8300
Fax: (702) 778-9709
Attorneys for Defendant, Anthony Horovitz

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANTHONY HOROVITZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE DATE TO RESPOND TO
GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ORDER [48] FOURTH
REQUEST**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Anthony Horovitz, Defendant, by and through his counsel, Paola M. Armeni, Esq., and Gia N. Marina, Esq., of the law firm of Clark Hill, PLLC, and the Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Jason Frierson, United States Attorney, and Summer A. Johnson, Assistant United States Attorney, to extend the time for the Defendant to respond to Government's Motion for Installment Payment Order [DKT 48], filed on July 7, 2022.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the deadline for filing any response be extended up to and including September 14, 2022.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The Response deadline date is August 24, 2022 [DKT 54].

- 1 2. The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022.
- 2 3. Defense Counsel is in constant communication with the Government working toward an
- 3 effective resolution for all parties.
- 4 4. For these reasons, the parties agree that the Response to the Motion for Installment
- 5 Payment Order will be filed on September 14, 2022.
- 6 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay and the denial
- 7 of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 8 6. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the
- 9 continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.
- 10 7. This is a Fourth Request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment
- 11 Payment Order.

12 JASON FRIERSON
13 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
14 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CLARK HILL PLLC

15 DATED this 23rd day of August, 2022.

DATED this 22nd day of August, 2022.

16 /s/ Summer A. Johnson
17 SUMMER A. JOHNSON
18 Assistant United States Attorney
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

/s/ Gia N. Marina
PAOLA M. ARMENI
GIA N. MARINA
Attorney for Defendant,
ANTHONY HOROVITZ

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

4 Plaintiff,

5 vs.

6 ANTHONY HOROVITZ,

7 Defendant.
8

9 **FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND ORDER**

10 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the
11 Court hereby finds that:

12 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

13 Based on the fact that counsel has agreed to a continuance, the Court hereby concludes that:

- 14 1. The Response deadline date is August 24, 2022 [DKT 54].
- 15 2. The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022.
- 16 3. Defense Counsel is in constant communication with the Government working toward an
17 effective resolution for all parties.
- 18 4. For these reasons, the parties agree that the Response to the Motion for Installment
19 Payment Order will be filed on September 14, 2022.
- 20 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay and the denial
21 of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 22 6. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the
23 continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.
- 24 7. This is a Fourth Request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment
25 Payment Order.
- 26 8. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes or delay and the denial of
27 the denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 28

9. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.

10. This is a Fourth Request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment Payment Order.

11. The ends of justice are served by granting said continuances and outweigh the best interest of the public and since the failure to grant said continuances would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, as it would deny the parties herein sufficient time, and the opportunity, within which to effectively and thoroughly prepare and file responses, and replies, and taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadlines for filing of responsive pleading, is hereby due on or before the 14th day of September, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadlines for filing a reply is hereby due on or before the 21st day of September, 2022.

DATED this 25th day of August 2022.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH